

SUPRAPOSINORMALITY AND HYPONORMALITY FOR THE GENERALIZED CESÀRO MATRICES OF ORDER TWO

H. C. RHALY JR.

In memory of Evans Burnham Harrington (1925-1997)

ABSTRACT. It is well known that the generalized Cesàro matrices of order one are hyponormal operators on ℓ^2 , and it has recently been shown that the Cesàro matrix of order two is also hyponormal. Here the relatively new concept of supraposinormality is used to show that the generalized Cesàro matrices of order two are both posinormal and coposinormal, and that “most” of them are also hyponormal. A conjecture is propounded that would extend the hyponormality result.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of supraposinormality for operators on a Hilbert space H was recently introduced and investigated in [8]. The operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, the set of bounded linear operators on H , is *supraposinormal* if there exist positive operators P and $Q \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

$$AQA^* = A^*PA,$$

where at least one of P, Q has dense range. The ordered pair (Q, P) is referred to as an *interrupter pair* associated with A . A *posinormal* operator is a particular case of a supraposinormal with $Q = I$, and a *coposinormal* operator is a particular case of a supraposinormal with $P = I$. The following theorem contains some key facts about supraposinormal operators.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ satisfies $AQA^* = A^*PA$ for positive operators $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.*

- (a) *If Q has dense range, then A is supraposinormal and $\text{Ker}(A) \subseteq \text{Ker}(A^*)$, where $\text{Ker}(A) = \{f \in H : Af = 0\}$.*
- (b) *If P has dense range, then A is supraposinormal and $\text{Ker}(A^*) \subseteq \text{Ker}(A)$.*
- (c) *If Q is invertible, then the supraposinormal operator A is posinormal.*
- (d) *If P is invertible, then the supraposinormal operator A is coposinormal.*
- (e) *If P and Q are both invertible, then A is both posinormal and coposinormal with $\text{Ker}(A) = \text{Ker}(A^*)$ and $\text{Ran}(A) = \text{Ran}(A^*)$, where $\text{Ran}(A) = \{g \in H : g = Af \text{ for some } f \in H\}$.*

Proof. See [8]. □

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B20.

Key words and phrases. Cesàro matrix, hyponormal operator, supraposinormal operator.

Recall that the operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *hyponormal* if

$$\langle (A^*A - AA^*)f, f \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $f \in H$.

Theorem 1.2. *If A is supraposinormal operator on H with $AQA^* = A^*PA$ and*

$$Q \geq I \geq P \geq 0,$$

then A is hyponormal.

Proof. Assume the hypothesis is satisfied. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (A^*A - AA^*)f, f \rangle &= \langle (A^*A - A^*PA + AQA^* - AA^*)f, f \rangle \\ &= \langle (I - P)Af, Af \rangle + \langle (Q - I)A^*f, A^*f \rangle \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in H$, so A is hyponormal. $\square$

In a pair of 1921 papers [2,3] Hausdorff studied the class of lower triangular matrices whose entries are

$$h_{ij} = \binom{i}{j} \Delta^{i-j} \mu_j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq i,$$

where $\{\mu_n\}$ is a real or complex sequence, and Δ is the forward difference operator defined by

$$\Delta \mu_k = \mu_k - \mu_{k+1}, \quad \Delta^{n+1} \mu_k = \Delta(\Delta^n \mu_k).$$

Almost forty years later, Endl [1] and Jakimovski [4] independently defined a generalization of the Hausdorff matrices as follows. For each $\alpha \geq 0$, $H^{(\alpha)}$ is a lower triangular matrix with entries

$$(1.1) \quad h_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \binom{i+\alpha}{i-j} \Delta^{i-j} \mu_j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq i.$$

The case $\alpha = 0$ results in the original Hausdorff matrices.

If we use

$$\mu_j = \int_0^1 t^{j+\alpha} d\chi(t) \quad \text{where} \quad \chi(t) = 1 - (1-t)^\beta$$

in (1.1) with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, we obtain the generalized Cesàro matrices of order β , $(C^{(\alpha)}, \beta)$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} (1.2) \quad (C^{(\alpha)}, \beta)_{ij} &= \binom{i+\alpha}{i-j} \int_0^1 t^{j+\alpha} (1-t)^{i-j} \beta (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(i+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i-j+1)\Gamma(j+\alpha+1)} \cdot \frac{\beta \Gamma(j+\alpha+1) \Gamma(i-j+\beta)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha+\beta+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta = 1$, one obtains the generalized Cesàro matrices of order one, $(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)$, with entries

$$(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i+1+\alpha} & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq i \\ 0 & \text{for } j > i. \end{cases}$$

for all i . We note that $(C^{(\alpha)}, 1) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ for all $\alpha > -1$, not just for $\alpha \geq 0$. The next result first appeared in [7, Theorem 2.4].

Proposition 1.3. $(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)$ is posinormal and coposinormal for all $\alpha > -1$, and $(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)$ is hyponormal for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

Proof. If $Q \equiv \text{diag}\{1 + \alpha, 1, 1, 1, \dots\}$ and $P \equiv \text{diag}\{\frac{n+1+\alpha}{n+2+\alpha} : n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, it can be verified that

$$(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)Q(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)^* = (C^{(\alpha)}, 1)^*P(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)$$

with both P and Q invertible for all $\alpha > -1$, so it follows from Theorem 1.1 that $(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)$ is both posinormal and coposinormal for those values of α . Since $Q \geq I \geq P$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that $(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)$ is hyponormal for those α . $\square$

It should be noted that earlier proofs of hyponormality for this operator (see [6, 10]) were much more computational, so it seems natural and desirable to look for opportunities to apply the supraposinormality approach to other operators. With that in mind, we turn to display (1.2) when $\beta = 2$ and obtain

$$(C^{(\alpha)}, 2)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{2(i+1-j)}{(i+1+\alpha)(i+2+\alpha)} & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq i \\ 0 & \text{for } j > i \end{cases},$$

the entries of the generalized Cesàro matrices of order two. Note again that these operators are in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ for all $\alpha > -1$ (not just for $\alpha \geq 0$). Comparison of the entries verifies that the Cesàro matrix $(C^{(0)}, 2)$ of order two (take $\alpha = 0$) is precisely the matrix studied in [9], where it was shown that, as a result of the relationship

$$(C^{(0)}, 2)(C^{(0)}, 2)^* = (C^{(0)}, 2)^* \text{diag}\left\{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{(n+3)(n+4)} : n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\right\}(C^{(0)}, 2),$$

$(C^{(0)}, 2)$ is a hyponormal operator on ℓ^2 . In view of the relationship displayed above, together with inspection of the result obtained for $(C^{(\alpha)}, 1)$ in Proposition 1.3, we decide to make an adjustment in the interrupter to allow for α and call the result P , compute $(C^{(\alpha)}, 2)^*P(C^{(\alpha)}, 2)$, and then try to find a diagonal or “nearly” diagonal operator Q such that

$$(C^{(\alpha)}, 2)Q(C^{(\alpha)}, 2)^* = (C^{(\alpha)}, 2)^*P(C^{(\alpha)}, 2)$$

in the hope that Theorem 1.2 will apply. That approach has led to the main results presented in the next section.

Some of the calculations in the following sections have been aided by the Sage-math software system [11].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Suppose $\alpha > -1$. Under consideration here will be the matrix $M \equiv (C^{(\alpha)}, 2)$ whose entries are given by

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{2(i+1-j)}{(i+1+\alpha)(i+2+\alpha)} & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq i \\ 0 & \text{for } j > i. \end{cases}$$

It is worth noting that the range of M contains all the e_n 's from the standard orthonormal basis for ℓ^2 since

$$M \left[\frac{1}{2}(n+1+\alpha)(n+2+\alpha)(e_n - 2e_{n+1} + e_{n+2}) \right] = e_n.$$

Theorem 2.1. *The operator $M \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ is supraposinormal for $\alpha > -1$.*

Proof. In view of the considerations mentioned in the introduction, we first take

$$P := \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{(n+1+\alpha)(n+2+\alpha)}{(n+3+\alpha)(n+4+\alpha)} : n \geq 0 \right\},$$

and then compute M^*PM . For $j \geq i$, the (i, j) -entry of M^*PM is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4(j+1-i+k)(k+1)}{(j+1+k+\alpha)(j+2+k+\alpha)(j+3+k+\alpha)(j+4+k+\alpha)}.$$

The series is telescoping, as can be seen by rewriting the summand as

$$s(k) - s(k+1)$$

where

$$s(k) := \frac{ak^2 + bk + c}{(j+1+k+\alpha)(j+2+k+\alpha)(j+3+k+\alpha)}$$

with

$$a = 4, b = 2(3j+5-i+2\alpha), \text{ and } c = \frac{2}{3}(3j+3-i+2\alpha)(j+3+\alpha).$$

Consequently, for $j \geq i$, the (i, j) -entry of M^*PM in simplified form is

$$s(0) = \frac{2(3j+3-i+2\alpha)}{3(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)}.$$

The computations for $i > j$ are similar.

Suppose that $Q = [q_{ij}]$ is given by

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(3+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{6} & -\frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -\frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{3} & \frac{(3-\alpha+2\alpha^2)(2+\alpha)}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}; \text{ that is,}$$

$q_{00} = \frac{(3+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{6}$, $q_{10} = q_{01} = -\frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{3}$, $q_{11} = \frac{(3-\alpha+2\alpha^2)(2+\alpha)}{6}$, $q_{ii} = 1$ for all $i = j \geq 2$, and $q_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. If $X := QM^*$, then $X = [x_{ij}]$ has entries

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{[3(j+1)+2\alpha](1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{3(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)} & \text{for } i = 0 \\ \frac{[3j(1-\alpha)-2\alpha(1+\alpha)](2+\alpha)}{3(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)} & \text{for } i = 1 \\ \frac{2(j+1-i)}{(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)} & \text{for } j \geq i \geq 2 \\ 0 & \text{for } i \geq 2, j < i. \end{cases}$$

For $j \geq i \geq 2$, the (i, j) -entry of $MQM^* = MX$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2(i+1)}{(i+1+\alpha)(i+2+\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(3j+3+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{3(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)} \\ & + \frac{2i}{(i+1+\alpha)(i+2+\alpha)} \cdot \frac{(3j-3j\alpha-2\alpha-2\alpha^2)(2+\alpha)}{3(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{2(i-k)}{(i+1+\alpha)(i+2+\alpha)} \cdot \frac{2(j-k)}{(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)} \\
& = \frac{2(3j+3-i+2\alpha)}{3(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The cases $j \geq i = 0$ and $j \geq i = 1$ are left to the reader. The computations for $i \geq j$ are similar, so it follows that $M^*PM = MQM^*$. It is clear that P has dense range, so M is supraposinormal. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *The operator M is both posinormal and coposinormal for $\alpha > -1$.*

Proof. Since

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(3+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{6} & -\frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{3} \\ -\frac{\alpha(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{3} & \frac{(3-\alpha+2\alpha^2)(2+\alpha)}{6} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)^2(3+\alpha)}{12},$$

it is clear that Q is invertible for $\alpha > -1$. Since P is also clearly invertible for $\alpha > -1$, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that M is both posinormal and coposinormal when $\alpha > -1$. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *If n is a positive integer and $\alpha > -1$, then M^n is both posinormal and coposinormal.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.2 and [5, Corollary 2.2 (b)]. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *The operator $M \in B(\ell^2)$ is hyponormal for $\alpha \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $I \geq P$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$. If Z_0 is the first finite section of $Q - I$ and Z_1 is the second, then

$$\det(Z_0) = \frac{\alpha(13 + 9\alpha + 2\alpha^2)}{6}$$

and

$$\det(Z_1) = \frac{\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)(1 + \alpha)}{12},$$

so $Q \geq I$ for $\alpha \geq 1$ or $\alpha = 0$. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that M is hyponormal for $\alpha \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty)$. $\square$

While it seems plausible that M is also hyponormal for $0 < \alpha < 1$, that question remains open for now. The next section propounds a conjecture regarding that case.

3. CONJECTURE CONCERNING THE EXCEPTIONAL CASE: $0 < \alpha < 1$

This lemma is included to provide support for the conjecture to follow. Whereas the computations of the previous section centered on the supraposinormality of M , the computations in this section center on its posinormality.

Lemma 3.1. *If M is the generalized Cesàro matrix of order 2 for fixed $\alpha > -1$ and $B := [b_{ij}]_{i,j \geq 0}$ is the matrix defined by*

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{2(j+1-3i-2\alpha)}{(j+3+\alpha)(j+4+\alpha)} & \text{if } j > i-2 \\ \frac{(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)}{(j+3+\alpha)(j+4+\alpha)} & \text{if } j = i-2 \\ 0 & \text{if } j < i-2 \end{cases},$$

then

- (a) B is a bounded linear operator on ℓ^2 ,
- (b) $BM = M^*$, and
- (c) the interrupter $P = B^*B = [p_{ij}]$ has entries given by

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{i^4 + 2(5+2\alpha)i^3 + (35+26\alpha+6\alpha^2)i^2}{(i+3+\alpha)^2(i+4+\alpha)^2} + \frac{(50+50\alpha+34\alpha^2+4\alpha^3)i + \alpha^4 + 6\alpha^3 + 45\alpha^2 + 28\alpha + 24}{(i+3+\alpha)^2(i+4+\alpha)^2} & \text{if } i = j; \\ -\frac{2\alpha(11+(5-\alpha)(i+j)+2ij-5\alpha+2\alpha^2)}{(i+3+\alpha)(i+4+\alpha)(j+3+\alpha)(j+4+\alpha)} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (a) First note that for $i \leq j$, $b_{ij} \in [-4/(j+4+\alpha), 2/(j+4+\alpha)]$ for all i , so the upper triangular part T of matrix B is a bounded operator on ℓ^2 . If U denotes the unilateral shift, W_1 is the weighted shift with weight sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{4(n+1+\alpha)}{(n+3+\alpha)(n+4+\alpha)} : n \geq 0 \right\}$$

and W_2 is the weighted shift with weight sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{(n+1+\alpha)(n+2+\alpha)}{(n+3+\alpha)(n+4+\alpha)} : n \geq 0 \right\},$$

then

$$B = T - W_1 + UW_2,$$

so $B \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$.

- (b) For $j \geq i$, the (i, j) -entry in the product matrix BM is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4(k+1)(j+1-3i+k-2\alpha)}{(j+1+k+\alpha)(j+2+k+\alpha)(j+3+k+\alpha)(j+4+k+\alpha)}.$$

The summand in the series can be expressed as

$$s(k) - s(k+1)$$

where

$$s(k) = \frac{4k^2 + (10+6j-6i)k + 2(j+1-i)(j+3+\alpha)}{(j+1+k+\alpha)(j+2+k+\alpha)(j+3+k+\alpha)}.$$

The series is telescoping and converges to

$$s(0) = \frac{2(j+1-i)}{(j+1+\alpha)(j+2+\alpha)},$$

which is exactly the (i, j) -entry of M^* for $j \geq i$.

For $j < i$, the (i, j) -entry of BM is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(i-1+\alpha)(i+\alpha)}{(i+1+\alpha)(i+2+\alpha)} \cdot \frac{2(i-j-1)}{(i-1+\alpha)(i+\alpha)} \\ (3.1) \quad & + \frac{-4(i+\alpha)}{(i+2+\alpha)(i+3+\alpha)} \cdot \frac{2(i-j)}{(i+\alpha)(i+1+\alpha)} \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4(i+1-j+k)(k+1-2i-2\alpha)}{(i+1+k+\alpha)(i+2+k+\alpha)(i+3+k+\alpha)(i+4+k+\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

The summand in the infinite series can be expressed as

$$s(k) - s(k+1)$$

where

$$s(k) = \frac{4k^2 + (10 + 2i - 2j)k + c}{(i + 1 + k + \alpha)(i + 2 + k + \alpha)(i + 3 + k + \alpha)},$$

with

$$c = 6 + (4 - 2\alpha)i - (2 - 2\alpha)j + 2ij - 2i^2 + 2\alpha,$$

so the series is telescoping and converges to

$$s(0) = \frac{6 + (4 - 2\alpha)i - (2 - 2\alpha)j + 2ij - 2i^2 + 2\alpha}{(i + 1 + \alpha)(i + 2 + \alpha)(i + 3 + \alpha)}.$$

It is then straightforward to return to (3.1) and show that the (i, j) -entry of BM for $j < i$ is

$$\frac{2(i - j - 1)}{(i + 1 + \alpha)(i + 2 + \alpha)} - \frac{8(i - j)}{(i + 1 + \alpha)(i + 2 + \alpha)(i + 3 + \alpha)} + s(0) = 0,$$

which is precisely the (i, j) -entry of M^* for $j < i$.

(c) For $i = j$, the (i, j) -entry in the product matrix B^*B is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{i+1} \frac{4(i + 1 - 3k - 2\alpha)^2}{(i + 3 + \alpha)^2(i + 4 + \alpha)^2} + \frac{(i + 1 + \alpha)^2(i + 2 + \alpha)^2}{(i + 3 + \alpha)^2(i + 4 + \alpha)^2} = \\ & \frac{i^4 + 2(5 + 2\alpha)i^3 + (35 + 26\alpha + 6\alpha^2)i^2 + (50 + 50\alpha + 34\alpha^2 + 4\alpha^3)i}{(i + 3 + \alpha)^2(i + 4 + \alpha)^2} \\ & + \frac{\alpha^4 + 6\alpha^3 + 45\alpha^2 + 28\alpha + 24}{(i + 3 + \alpha)^2(i + 4 + \alpha)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $j \geq i + 1$, the (i, j) -entry of B^*B is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{i+1} \frac{2(i + 1 - 3k - 2\alpha)}{(i + 3 + \alpha)(i + 4 + \alpha)} \cdot \frac{2(j + 1 - 3k - 2\alpha)}{(j + 3 + \alpha)(j + 4 + \alpha)} \\ & + \frac{(\alpha + i + 1)(\alpha + i + 2)}{(i + 3 + \alpha)(i + 4 + \alpha)} \cdot \frac{2(j - 3i - 5 - 2\alpha)}{(j + 3 + \alpha)(j + 4 + \alpha)} \\ & = \frac{-2\alpha(11 + (5 - \alpha)(i + j) + 2ij - 5\alpha + 2\alpha^2)}{(i + 3 + \alpha)(i + 4 + \alpha)(j + 3 + \alpha)(j + 4 + \alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i \geq j + 1$, the computations are similar to those above. □

Since P serves as an interrupter for the posinormal operator M , we may apply Theorem 1.2 with $Q = I$ and conclude that M is hyponormal if $I - P$ is a positive operator, and that will occur if all of its finite sections S_n are positive. Using Lemma 3.1(c), it is straightforward to show that the first finite section of $I - P$ has determinant

$$\det(S_0) = \frac{120 + 140\alpha + 28\alpha^2 + 8\alpha^3}{(3 + \alpha)^2(4 + \alpha)^2},$$

the second finite section has determinant

$$\det(S_1) = \frac{16(2100 + 4340\alpha + 2901\alpha^2 + 1004\alpha^3 + 234\alpha^4 + 38\alpha^5 + 3\alpha^6)}{(3 + \alpha)^2(4 + \alpha)^4(5 + \alpha)^2},$$

the third finite section has determinant

$$\det(S_2) = \frac{16(1134000 + 3175200\alpha + 3319710\alpha^2 + 1884493\alpha^3 + 686703\alpha^4)}{(3 + \alpha)^2(4 + \alpha)^4(5 + \alpha)^4(6 + \alpha)^2} \\ + \frac{16(178049\alpha^5 + 34359\alpha^6 + 4742\alpha^7 + 408\alpha^8 + 16\alpha^9)}{(3 + \alpha)^2(4 + \alpha)^4(5 + \alpha)^4(6 + \alpha)^2},$$

and the fourth finite section has determinant

$$\det(S_3) = \frac{16(1047816000 + 3582532800\alpha + 4875510240\alpha^2 + 3747078072\alpha^3)}{(3 + \alpha)^2(4 + \alpha)^4(5 + \alpha)^4(6 + \alpha)^4(7 + \alpha)^2} \\ + \frac{16(1885128129\alpha^4 + 675769080\alpha^5 + 182338742\alpha^6 + 38146384\alpha^7)}{(3 + \alpha)^2(4 + \alpha)^4(5 + \alpha)^4(6 + \alpha)^4(7 + \alpha)^2} \\ + \frac{16(6184561\alpha^8 + 750976\alpha^9 + 63688\alpha^{10} + 3328\alpha^{11} + 80\alpha^{12})}{(3 + \alpha)^2(4 + \alpha)^4(5 + \alpha)^4(6 + \alpha)^4(7 + \alpha)^2}.$$

These calculations were assisted by [11]. Clearly each of these determinants is positive for all $\alpha \geq 0$, so we close with the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.2. *The operator $M \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ is also hyponormal for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

This conjecture would extend Corollary 2.4 and thereby assert the hyponormality of the generalized Cesàro matrices of order two for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Endl, Untersuchungen ber momentenprobleme bei verfahren vom Hausdorffschen typus, *Math. Ann.* **139** (1960), 403–432.
- [2] F. Hausdorff, Summationsmethoden und momentfolgen. I., *Math Z.* **9** (1921), no. 1-2, 74-109.
- [3] F. Hausdorff, Summationsmethoden und momentfolgen. II., *Math Z.* **9** (1921), no 3-4, 280-299.
- [4] A. Jakimovski, The product of summability methods: New classes of transformations and their properties, *Tech. Note (4), Contract No. AF* **61**(052)-187 (1959).
- [5] C. S. Kubrusly, P. C. M. Vieira, and J. Zanni, Powers of posinormal operators, *Oper. Matrices*, in press.
- [6] H. C. Rhaly Jr., Posinormal operators, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **46** (1994), no. 4, 587 - 605.
- [7] H. C. Rhaly Jr., Remarks concerning some generalized Cesàro operators on ℓ^2 , *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), no. 3, 425-433.
- [8] H. C. Rhaly Jr., A superclass of the posinormal operators, *New York J. Math.* **20** (2014), 497-506. This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2014/20-28.html>.
- [9] H. C. Rhaly Jr., The Nörlund operator on ℓ^2 generated by the sequence of positive integers is hyponormal, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **22** (2015), no. 5, 737-742.
- [10] B. E. Rhoades, Generalized Hausdorff matrices bounded on ℓ^p and c , *Acta Sci. Math.* **43** (1981), 333-345.
- [11] W. A. Stein et al., Sage Mathematics Software (Version 6.2), The Sage Development Team, 2014, <http://www.sagemath.org>.

1081 BUCKLEY DRIVE, JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39206, U.S.A.
E-mail address: rhaly@member.ams.org